

WRT 104-0003  
Project #3  
Visually Representing URI  
Rubric Score Sheet  
April 2014

**Project due:** Via one member's Sakai dropbox – Friday, April 4<sup>th</sup> by 9:00am  
**Group Report due:** Via one member's Sakai dropbox – Friday, April 4<sup>th</sup> by 9:00am  
**Self-Reflection due:** Via your own Sakai dropbox – Monday, April 7<sup>th</sup> by 9:00am

**General Comments for All Group Members:**

I'll type comments here...

**I. Written Report – Group (10%)**

Your report discusses your project's audience, purpose, and message as well as any ethos, logos, or pathos utilized in the delivery: \_\_\_\_\_/2pts

**II. Project – Group**

**A. Visual Components (5 total points – 25%)**

1. What you've reported in your write up is aligned with what you've portrayed in your visual project and those elements are evident to viewers: \_\_\_\_\_/3pts
2. The visual project is without interruption during viewing, and is composed in a professional and understandable manner; viewers are clearly be able to tell that this was taken seriously: \_\_\_\_\_/2pts

**B. Content Components (8 total points – 40%)**

1. Your report defines your issue in precise terms that are related to your rhetorical situation, and your report makes clear why the issue you've investigated is one that is worth of investigation in the first place. Your report somehow reveals/shows/demonstrates the conclusion viewers should reach about your issues: \_\_\_\_\_/4 pts
2. You have used evidence such as convincing facts and details as well as direct quotations to help readers understand your issue at hand as well as to vividly convey the perspectives of different groups who have a stake in this issue: \_\_\_\_\_/4pts

**III. Collaboration – Graded by Group Members (15%)**

Determined by your group members: \_\_\_\_\_/3pts

**IV. Self-Reflection – Individual (10%)**

See guidelines on wiki...: \_\_\_\_\_/2pts

**Your Total:** \_\_\_\_\_/20pts  
(100%)

**\*Score:** \_\_\_\_\_/20 **\*Letter grade:** \_\_\_\_\_